PATENT ASSIGNMENT

Electronic Version v1.1 Stylesheet Version v1.1

SUBMISSION TYPE:	NEW ASSIGNMENT
NATURE OF CONVEYANCE:	ASSIGNMENT

CONVEYING PARTY DATA

Name	Execution Date
Carmen Lira-Nunez	02/24/2010
Matthew J. Gerules	02/24/2010

RECEIVING PARTY DATA

Name:	Sanford, L.P.	
Street Address:	2707 Butterfield Road	
City:	Oak Brook	
State/Country:	ILLINOIS	
Postal Code:	60523	

PROPERTY NUMBERS Total: 1

Property Type	Number
Application Number:	29356385

CORRESPONDENCE DATA

Fax Number: (312)474-0448

Correspondence will be sent via US Mail when the fax attempt is unsuccessful.

Phone: 312-474-6300

Email: docket@marshallip.com

Correspondent Name: Marshall, Gerstein & Borun LLP

Address Line 1: 233 South Wacker Drive

Address Line 2: Suite 6300

Address Line 4: Chicago, ILLINOIS 60606-6357

ATTORNEY DOCKET NUMBER: 29617/SH042

NAME OF SUBMITTER: Andrew M. Lawrence

Total Attachments: 3

source=Assignment_29617_SH042#page1.tif source=Assignment_29617_SH042#page2.tif

PATENT 501102020 REEL: 023987 FRAME: 0416

OF \$40.00 2935638

OP \$40.0

source=Assignment_29617_SH042#page3.tif

PATENT REEL: 023987 FRAME: 0417

ASSIGNMENT

Serial No:

29/356,385

Filed:

February 24, 2010

Title:

Writing Instrument

For good and valuable consideration, the receipt and sufficiency of which are hereby acknowledged, the undersigned hereby assign to Sanford, L.P., an Illinois limited partnership, 2707 Butterfield Road, Oak Brook, Illinois 60523 (hereinafter "assignce"), its successors and assigns, the entire right, title and interest in the invention or improvements of the undersigned disclosed in an application for Letters Patent of the United States, and in said application and any and all other applications, both United States and foreign, which the undersigned may file, either solely or jointly with others, on said invention or improvements, and in any and all Letters Patent of the United States and foreign countries, which may be obtained on any of said applications, and in any reissue or extension thereof.

The undersigned hereby authorize and request the Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks to issue said Letters Patent to said assignee.

The undersigned warrant themselves to be the owners of the interest herein assigned and to have the right to make this assignment and further warrant that there are no outstanding prior assignments, licenses, or other rights in the interest herein assigned.

For said consideration the undersigned hereby agrees, upon the request and at the expense of said assignee, its successors and assigns, to execute any and all divisional, continuation, continuation-in-part and substitute applications for said invention or improvements, and any necessary oath or affidavit relating thereto, and any application for the reissue or extension of any Letters Patent that may be granted upon said application, and any and all applications and other documents for Letters Patent in foreign countries on said invention or improvements, that said assignee, its successors or assigns, may deem necessary or expedient, and for said consideration the undersigned further agree upon the request of said assignee, its successors or assigns, in the event of any application or Letters Patent assigned herein becoming involved in Interference, to cooperate to the best of the ability of the undersigned with said assignee, its successors or assigns, in the matters of preparing and executing the preliminary statement and giving and producing evidence in support thereof, the undersigned hereby agreeing to perform, upon request, any and all affirmative acts to obtain said Letters Patent, both United States and foreign, and vest all rights therein hereby conveyed in said assignee, its successors and assigns, whereby said Letters Patent will be held and enjoyed by said assignee, its successors and assigns, to the full end of the term for which said Letters Patent may be granted as fully and entirely as the same would have been held and enjoyed by the undersigned if this assignment and sale had not been made.

(1) WITNESS my hand this 24 day of 2010.
Carmen Lira-Nunez
State of Lilinois }
County of Durage SS
On this 24th, day of February, 2010, before me, a Notary Public in and for the County and State aforesaid, appeared Carmen Lira-Nunez, to me personally known to be the same person whose name is subscribed to the foregoing instrument, and acknowledged that she executed said instrument as her free and voluntary act and for the uses and purposes therein expressed.
WITNESS my hand and seal the same day and year last above given.
My Commission Expires: December 15, 2010 Notary Public.
OFFICIAL SEAL DEBRA A KRESS (2) WITNESS my hand this 24 day of February, 2010. My Commission Expires Dec 15, 20
Wothow J. Jamle Matthew J. Gerules
State of Illinois County of DiPage SS
On this Quit day of teorus, 2010, before me, a Notary Public in and for the County and State aforesaid, appeared Matthew J. Gerules, to me personally known to be the same person whose name is subscribed to the foregoing instrument, and acknowledged that he executed said instrument as his free and voluntary act and for the uses and purposes therein expressed.
WITNESS my hand and seal the same day and year last above given.
My Commission Expires: Desember 15, 2010 Notary Public
OFFICIAL SEAL DEBRA A KRESS Notary Public - State of Illinois My Commission Expires Dec 18, 2010

PATENT REEL: 023987 FRAME: 0419

APPLICABLE RULES AND STATUTES

37 CFR 1.56. DUTY OF DISCLOSURE - INFORMATION MATERIAL TO PATENTABILITY (Applicable Portion)

- (a) A patent by its very nature is affected with a public interest. The public interest is best served, and the most effective patent examination occurs when, at the time an application is being examined, the Office is aware of and evaluates the teachings of all information material to patentability. Each individual associated with the filing and prosecution of a patent application has a duty of candor and good faith in dealing with the Office, which includes a duty to disclose to the Office all information known to that individual to be material to patentability as defined in this section. The duty to disclose information exists with respect to each pending claim until the claim is canceled or withdrawn from consideration, or the application becomes abandoned. Information material to the patentability of a claim that is canceled or withdrawn from consideration need not be submitted if the information is not material to the patentability of any claim remaining under consideration in the application. There is no duty to submit information which is not material to the patentability of any existing claim. The duty to disclose all information known to be material to patentability is deemed to be satisfied if all information known to be material to patentability of any claim issued in a patent was cited by the Office or submitted to the Office in the manner prescribed by §§ 1.97(b)-(d) and 1.98. However, no patent will be granted on an application in connection with which fraud on the Office was practiced or attempted or the duty of disclosure was violated through bad faith or intentional misconduct. The Office encourages applicants to carefully examine:
 - (1) prior art cited in search reports of a foreign patent office in a counterpart application, and
- (2) the closest information over which individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application believe any pending claim patentability defines, to make sure that any material information contained therein is disclosed to the Office.

Information relating to the following factual situations enumerated in 35 USC 102 and 103 may be considered material under 37 CFR 1.56(a).

35 U.S.C. 102. CONDITIONS FOR PATENTABILITY: NOVELTY AND LOSS OF RIGHT TO PATENT

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or
- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of the application for patent in the United States, or
 - (c) he has abandoned the invention, or
- (d) the invention was first patented or caused to be patented, or was the subject of an inventor's certificate, by the applicant or his legal representatives or assigns in a foreign country prior to the date of the application for patent in this country on an application for patent or inventor's certificate filed more than twelve months before the filing of the application in the United States, or
- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraph (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or
 - (f) he did not himself invent the subject matter sought to be patented, or
- (g) before the applicant's invention thereof the invention was made in this country by another who had not abandoned, suppressed, or concealed it. In determining priority of invention there shall be considered not only the respective dates of conception and reduction to practice of the invention, but also the reasonable diligence of one who was first to conceive and last to reduce to practice, from a time prior to conception by the other.

35 U.S.C. 103. CONDITIONS FOR PATENTABILITY; NON-OBVIOUS SUBJECT MATTER (Applicable Portion)

A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Subject matter developed by another person, which qualifies as prior art only under subsection (f) or (g) of section 102 of this title, shall not preclude patentability under this section where the subject matter and the claimed invention were, at the time the invention was made, owned by the same person or subject to an obligation of assignment to the same person.

35 U.S.C. 112. SPECIFICATION (Applicable Portion)

RECORDED: 02/24/2010

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same, and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

PATENT REEL: 023987 FRAME: 0420