

PATENT ASSIGNMENT COVER SHEET

Electronic Version v1.1
 Stylesheet Version v1.2

EPAS ID: PAT4893317

SUBMISSION TYPE:	NEW ASSIGNMENT	
NATURE OF CONVEYANCE:	ASSIGNMENT	
CONVEYING PARTY DATA		
	Name	Execution Date
	JOHN HAYS SHULER	03/29/2018
RECEIVING PARTY DATA		
Name:	XICATO, INC.	
Street Address:	101 DAGGETT DRIVE	
City:	SAN JOSE	
State/Country:	CALIFORNIA	
Postal Code:	95134	
PROPERTY NUMBERS Total: 1		
Property Type	Number	
Application Number:	15941759	
CORRESPONDENCE DATA		
Fax Number:	(408)378-7770	
<i>Correspondence will be sent to the e-mail address first; if that is unsuccessful, it will be sent using a fax number, if provided; if that is unsuccessful, it will be sent via US Mail.</i>		
Phone:	4083787777	
Email:	patentadmin@svpatentgroup.com	
Correspondent Name:	SILICON VALLEY PATENT GROUP	
Address Line 1:	4010 MOORPARK AVENUE SUITE 210	
Address Line 4:	SAN JOSE, CALIFORNIA 95117	
ATTORNEY DOCKET NUMBER:	XIC068 US	
NAME OF SUBMITTER:	MICHAEL J. HALBERT	
SIGNATURE:	/Michael J. Halbert/	
DATE SIGNED:	03/30/2018	
Total Attachments: 3		
source=XIC068 US_Assignment_Filed_March_30_2018#page1.tif		
source=XIC068 US_Assignment_Filed_March_30_2018#page2.tif		
source=XIC068 US_Assignment_Filed_March_30_2018#page3.tif		

ASSIGNMENT

For good and valuable consideration, receipt of which is hereby acknowledged, I:

John Hays Shuler of 4020 Halkins Drive, San Jose, California 95124;


hereby sell, assign and transfer to **Xicato, Inc.**, a **Delaware** corporation, having a place of business at **101 Daggett Drive, San Jose, California 95134**, its successors and assigns, the entire right, title and interest throughout the world in my invention for which I have executed a United States patent application entitled

PRESENCE ACTIVATED RADIO BEACON

the patent application being executed on or about the date of this assignment, and all patent applications and patents of every country for said invention, including divisions, reissues, continuations and extensions thereof, and all rights of priority resulting from the filing of said applications; I authorize the above-named assignee to apply for patents of foreign countries for said invention, and to claim all rights of priority without further authorization from me; I agree to execute all papers useful in connection with said United States and foreign applications, and generally to do everything possible to aid said assignee, its successors, assigns and nominees, at their request and expense, in obtaining and enforcing patents for said invention in all countries; and I request that the United States Patent and Trademark Office issue all patents granted for said invention to the above-named assignee, its successors and assigns.

First / Sole Inventor

Executed this 29th day of March, 2018.


Signature of John Hays Shuler

A Notary Public or other officer completing this certificate verifies only the identity of the individual who signed the document to which this certificate is attached, and not the truthfulness, accuracy, or validity of that document

State of California


County of Santa Clara

On 03/29/2018 before me, ELIAS MEMON, NOTARY PUBLIC,
(date) (Notary's Name)

Personally appeared **John Hays Shuler** who proved to me on the basis of satisfactory evidence to be the person whose name is subscribed to the within instrument and acknowledged to me that he/~~she~~ executed the same in his/~~her~~ authorized capacity, and that by his/~~her~~ signature on the instrument the person, or the entity upon behalf of which the person acted, executed the instrument.

I certify under PENALTY OF PERJURY under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing paragraph is true and correct.

WITNESS my hand and official seal.




SIGNATURE OF NOTARY

DUTY OF DISCLOSURE NOTICE

37 C.F.R. § 1.56 Duty to Disclose Information Material to Patentability

(a) A patent by its very nature is affected with a public interest. The public interest is best served, and the most effective patent examination occurs when, at the time an application is being examined, the Office is aware of and evaluates the teachings of all information material to patentability. Each individual associated with the filing and prosecution of a patent application has a duty of candor and good faith in dealing with the Office, which includes a duty to disclose to the Office all information known to that individual to be material to patentability as defined in this section. The duty to disclose information exists with respect to each pending claim until the claim is cancelled or withdrawn from consideration, or the application becomes abandoned. Information material to the patentability of a claim that is cancelled or withdrawn from consideration need not be submitted if the information is not material to the patentability of any claim remaining under consideration in the application. There is no duty to submit information which is not material to the patentability of any existing claim. The duty to disclose all information known to be material to patentability is deemed to be satisfied if all information known to be material to patentability of any claim issued in a patent was cited by the Office or submitted to the Office in the manner prescribed by §§ 1.97(b)-(d) and 1.98. However, no patent will be granted on an application in connection with which fraud on the Office was practiced or attempted or the duty of disclosure was violated through bad faith or intentional misconduct. The Office encourages applicants to carefully examine:

- (1) Prior art cited in search reports of a foreign patent office in a counterpart application, and
- (2) The closest information over which individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application believe any pending claim patentably defines, to make sure that any material information contained therein is disclosed to the Office.

(b) Under this section, information is material to patentability when it is not cumulative to information already of record or being made of record in the application, and

- (1) It establishes, by itself or in combination with other information, a prima facie case of unpatentability of a claim; or
- (2) It refutes, or is inconsistent with, a position the applicant takes in:
 - (i) Opposing an argument of unpatentability relied on by the Office, or
 - (ii) Asserting an argument of patentability.

A prima facie case of unpatentability is established when the information compels a conclusion that a claim is unpatentable under the preponderance of evidence, burden-of-proof standard, giving each term in the claim its broadest reasonable construction consistent with the specification, and before any consideration is given to evidence which may be submitted in an attempt to establish a contrary conclusion of patentability.

(c) Individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application within the meaning of this section are:

- (1) Each inventor named in the application;
- (2) Each attorney or agent who prepares or prosecutes the application; and
- (3) Every other person who is substantively involved in the preparation or prosecution of the application and who is associated with the inventor, with the assignee or with anyone to whom there is an obligation to assign the application.

(d) Individuals other than the attorney, agent or inventor may comply with this section by disclosing information to the attorney, agent, or inventor.

(e) In any continuation-in-part application, the duty under this section includes the duty to disclose to the Office all information known to the person to be material to patentability, as defined in paragraph (b) of this section, which became available between the filing date of the prior application and the national or PCT international filing date of the continuation-in-part application.